

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/040,142	Applicant(s) KELLY ET AL.	
	Examiner Peter A. Hruskoci	Art Unit 1724	

All Participants:

 (1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

 (2) Linsay S. Adams.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 April 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 4/13/06

Claims discussed:

1, 8


Prior art documents discussed:

Prior Art of Record
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to amend the claim 1 and cancel claim 8 as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of paragraphs [0006], [00012], [00021], and [00022] of the instant specification, and for the sake of clarity and accuracy..